

TIER 2 INTERVENTIONS

Snapshot

Tiered Fidelity Inventory

2.6 Tier II Critical Features

Tier II behavior support interventions provide (a) additional instruction/time for student skill development, (b) additional structure/predictability, and/or (c) increased opportunity for feedback (e.g., daily progress report).

Interventions

Academic or behavior interventions are strategies or techniques applied to instruction to teach a new skill, build fluency in a skill, or encourage application of an existing skill to a new situation. Interventions require a targeted assessment, planning and data collection. Interventions should be research-based and monitored regularly (progress monitoring) to determine student growth and to inform instruction.

Major Features of Tier 2 Interventions

- △ Continuously available
- △ Rapid access
- △ Continuous monitoring
- △ Low effort
- △ Consistency with SWPBIS expectations
- △ Implemented by all Staff
- △ Flexible/adaptable to match function
- △ Student chooses to participate

Intervention Essential Features Rubric

- △ Follows guidelines for piloting or developing EACH Tier 2 intervention established at your school
- A Provides structure for describing the components of Tier 2 interventions and supports
- △ Evaluates the implementation of key components of the intervention
- △ Outlines information necessary for developing a Tier 2 School Handbook

Name of Interventions	
1. Description of intervention including the function	8. Description of documented strategies for promoting
addressed:	generalization and maintenance of skills across
ObtainAvoid/Escape	settings, over time and linked to schoolwide
	expectations.
2. Intervention coordinator and/or facilitator(s)	9. Description of documented strategies for weekly
identified.	family communication/ feedback regarding
	intervention.
3. List at least two sources of data used to identify	10. Description of documented strategies for weekly
students for intervention, with criteria for entry to	communication/feedback with participating
intervention clearly described.	classroom teachers.
4. Description of system to determine function of	11. Description of documented strategies for regular
student behavior.	(at least quarterly) updates to full staff regarding
	intervention or students involved in intervention.
5. Description of documented procedures for	12. Description of documented system for monitoring
introducing/orienting new participants to the	fidelity of implementation of intervention process
intervention.	when student data indicates a questionable or poor
	response.
6. Description of data-based system for monitoring	13. Description of documented system for regularly
student progress in intervention (daily/weekly progress	assessing social validity of intervention.
report ratings and monitoring with data decisions	
applied at least monthly).	
7. Documented fading process including decision	14. Description of documented system for annually
rules, description of process and graduation from	evaluating intervention outcomes including:
intervention.	# Students Participating
	# Students Graduating
	# Students Needing More Intensive Support

MO SW-PBS Tier 2 Team Workbook. 2018-2019, page 156-159





	Proficient (2points)	Developing (1 point)	Evidence (0 points)	Score
Description of major components of intervention, compincular including function	Description of major components of intervention is clear and concise and	Description of major components of intervention is unclear or insufficient, but does	Description of major components of intervention is unclear and does not address	2
addressed. incluo of bel the irr	includes the specific function of behavior that is addressed by the intervention. □ Obtain	include the specific function of behavior that is addressed by the intervention. □ Obtain	function.	0
	Escape	□ Escape		
2. Intervention coordinator There is and/or facilitator(s) Interven identified. or assign are able to deliver the fidelity.	an assigned tion Coordinator and/ ted facilitator(s) who to coordinate and ne intervention with	One or more staff members have been assigned to implement this intervention, but assignments/roles are not clearly delineated.	No one has been assigned to deliver this intervention with fidelity.	2 1 0
3. List at least two sources of data used to identify sources students for intervention, with criteria for entry in this it to intervention clearly criteria described. □ Extended: □ Extended: □ Statended: □ State	more of the following swere used to identify is who would participate intervention and the for entry is described ident Identification sting School Data If or parent nomination iversal Screening process	Only one of the following sources was used to identify students who would participate in this intervention:	There is not a standard method to identify which students will participate in this intervention that includes school data, nomination, or universal screening.	1 0
i. Description of system to determine function of student behavior that of student includes both record review Adapted and context analysis.	lects information to e possible function t behavior (i.e. Tier 2 FACTS part A) which ds review xt analysis	Team collects information using one but not both of the following methods: ☐ records review ☐ context analysis	Team does not collect information that includes records review and context analysis to determine the function of behavior.	2 1 0

Intervention Essential Features Rubric

Feature	Proficient (2points)	Developing (1 point)	Not in Place/ No Evidence (0 points)	Score
5. Description of documented	Documented procedures for	Procedures for introducing/	There are no formal,	2
procedures for introducing/	introducing/orienting new	orienting new participants to	documented procedures in	-
orienting new participants	participants to the intervention	the intervention are unclear or	place for introducing/orienting	
to intervention for students, teachers and families.	that include sufficient detail for implementation are in place for:	undocumented or are in place for only two of the three listed	new students, teachers and families to the intervention	0
	□ Students	below:		
	□ Teachers	□ Students		
	□ Families	□ Teachers		
		□ Families		
6. Description of data-based	A data-based system is in place	A data-based system is in place	There is no data-based system	2
system for monitoring	to graph daily/weekly behavior	but is monitored less than	in place for monitoring student	1
intervention (daily/weekly	of student progress in the	weekly allulor decisions based on data from student progress	progress.	_
progress report ratings	intervention takes place with	in the intervention do not take		>
and monitoring) with data	data decisions applied at least	place at least monthly.		
decisions applied at least	monthly.			
monthly.				
7. Documented fading process	There are documented decision	There are decision rules as	There are no documented	2
that includes decision rules,	rules in place to determine	to when a student begins	decision rules in place about	,
description of process	when a student is ready	the fading process from this	fading and graduating from the	-
and graduation from		intervention and completes	intervention.	0
intervention.		the fading process, but they		
		are not documented or used		
o Description of demonstral	4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	The supplier of designer males and	T. Southern to Care and T.	,
o. Description of documented	to Degili tile Idullig process	illere are decision rules as	There are no strategies in	4
strategies for generalization	Irom this intervention.	to when a student begins	place for follow-up from this	1
and manneriance of skins	Cocamentation and Carists man	increaming process morn mis	The Vention mat are mixed	
across settings and over	systematically describes the	intervention and completes	to buildings schoolwide	0
Schoolwide Franctations	from the intervention	are not documented or used	promote generalization over	
		consistently.	time and across settings.	
		./		

Feature	Proficient (2points)	Developing (1 point)	Not in Place/ No Evidence (0 points)	Score
9. Description of documented	There are strategies in place to	There are strategies in place	There are no documented	2
communication / feedback	students participating in the intercention that include all of	regarding the intervention, but	communicate with and	- 0
regarding mer vericon.	the following:	are not present: Clearly documented	regarding the intervention.	>
	□ Contains Feedback Process	□ Contains Feedback Process		
	□ Occurs at least weekly	□ Occurs at least weekly		
10. Description of documented	There are strategies in	There are strategies in place	There are no documented	2
strategies for weekly communication and	place to communicate with participating classroom	to communicate with teachers regarding their students in this	strategies in place to communicate with and receive	1
feedback with participating	teachers regarding their	intervention, but one or more	feedback from participating	0
classroom teachers.	students in this intervention	of the following are not present:	classroom teachers regarding	
	that include all of the following:	□ Clearly documented	their students in this	
	□ Clearly documented	□ Contains Feedback Process	intervention.	
	□ Contains Feedback Process	□ Occurs at least weekly		
	□ Occurs at least weekly			
11. Description of documented	There are documented	Updates regarding the	There are no strategies in place	2
strategies for regular (at	strategies in place to provide	intervention are provided to	to provide updates regarding	-
least quarterly) updates	updates to full staff regarding	some staff, are not documented,	the intervention to full staff.	٦
to full staff regarding	the intervention or students	or do not take place at least		0
intervention or students	involved in the intervention at	quarterly.		
nvolved in intervention.	least quarterly.			

Feature	Proficient (2points)	Developing (1 point)	Not in Place/ No Evidence (0 points)	Score
12. Description of documented	There is a clear, documented	The Tier 2 Team monitors	If student data indicates a	2
system for monitoring	system in place for the Tier 2	and reviews the fidelity	questionable or poor response	-
fidelity of implementation of	Team to monitor and review	of implementation of the	to the intervention, the fidelity	4
interventionprocess.	the fidelity of implementation	intervention process but it is	of implementation of the	0
	of the intervention process	unclear, not documented, or	intervention process is not	
	that includes at least one of the	does not include at least one of	monitored, reviewed, updated	
	following:	the following:	or modified.	
	□ Permanent Product Review	□ Permanent Product Review		
	(i.e. DPR/WPR, Lesson	(i.e. DPR/WPR, Lesson		
	Plans)	Plans)		
	□ Direct Observation	□ Direct Observation		
	□ Self-Assessment	□ Self-Assessment		
13. Description of documented	There is a documented system	Social validity of the	There is no system in place to	2
system for regularly	in place to assess the social	intervention is assessed, but the	assess the social validity of the	,
assessing social validity of	validity of the intervention	system is not documented, or	intervention.	-
intervention.	at least twice during the	it does not take place at least		0
	intervention and modify	twice during the intervention.		
	intervention as needed.			
14. Description of documented	There is a documented system	There is a system in place to	There is no system in place	2
system for annually	in place to evaluate intervention	evaluate intervention outcomes	for evaluating intervention	-
evaluating intervention	outcomes that is completed at	but is not documented or is	outcomes.	-
outcomes that includes:	least annually (i.e. MO SW-PBS	not completed annually or		0
☐ # Students Participating	Outcomes Evaluation Tool) and	includes some but not all of the		
# Students Graduating	includes the following:	following:		
# Stridonte Noodin	☐ # Students Participating	☐ # Students Participating		
	☐ # Students Graduating	# Students Graduating		
•	☐ # Students Needing	# Students Needing		
	More Intensive Support	More Intensive Support		